



Table 4-2: Evaluation Matrix - Results

Evaluation Criteria	Performance Measure	Value			R	ating
		Urban	Primary	Units	Urban	Primary
		Boulevard	Thoroughfare		Boulevard	Thoroughfare
Mobility						
Transit	Transit Mode Share (All Trips)	16.3	16.4	percent	good	good
	New Transit Riders (Regional)	24,698	24,789	persons	good	good
	Integration With Planned And Existing Transit Systems	2	1	transfers	fair	good
Travel Demand	Total VMT (Corridor)	253,017	251,379	VMT	not rated	not rated
	Total Person Trips (Corridor)	729,573	732,468	person trips	good	good
Travel Efficiency	% VMT In Congested Conditions (Regional)	18.7	19.7	percent	fair	poor
	VHT Per Capita (Regional)	1.10	1.10	VHT per capita	fair	fair
	% VMT In Congested Conditions (Heavy Trucks Only) (Corridor)	31.5	31.1	percent	fair	fair
	PM Peak V/C Ratio (Corridor)	0.83	0.83		fair	fair
	PM Peak Congested Speed (Corridor)	17.9	17.8	mph	fair	fair
	% VMT In Congested Conditions (SOV Only) (Corridor)	30.0	30.0	percent	fair	fair





Table 4-2: Evaluation Matrix - Results

		Value			Rating	
		Urban	Primary		Urban	Primary
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	Performance Measure	Boulevard	_	Units	Boulevard	Thoroughfare
Accessibility						
	Travel Times Between Corridor And Selected Regional Activity Centers By Mode					
	Drive from Northside Drive to Cumberland/Galleria	34.6	33.9	minutes	fair	fair
	Drive from Northside Drive to Midtown	13.1	13.1	minutes	fair	fair
	Drive from Northside Drive to Airport	33.2	32.5	minutes	fair	fair
	Transit from Northside Drive to Cumberland/Galleria	46.0	31.1	minutes	poor	fair
	Transit from Northside Drive to Midtown	9.3	8.3	minutes	good	good
	Transit from Northside Drive to Airport	46.9	48.5	minutes	fair	fair
	2030 Population Within 1/4 Mile Of Stations	13,669	13,669	persons	fair	fair
	2000 EJ Persons Within 1/4 Mile Of Stations	10,938	10,938	persons	good	good
	2030 Employment Within 1/4 Mile Of Stations	15,497	15,497	jobs	good	good
	Number of Regional Attractions Within 1/4 Mile Of Stations	5	5	attractions	good	good
	Number of Local Serving Attractions Within 1/4 Mile Of Stations	0	0	attractions	poor	poor





Table 4-2: Evaluation Matrix - Results

		Value			Rating	
		Urban	Primary		Urban	Primary
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	Performance Measure	Boulevard	Thoroughfare	Units	Boulevard	Thoroughfare
Land Use						
	Environmentally Sensitive Sites Impacted	4	4	sites	fair	fair
	Residences Impacted	10	14	buildings	fair	poor
	Businesses Impacted	28	38	businesses	fair	poor
	Churches and Community Facilities Impacted	1	1	facilities	fair	fair
	Loss of Developable Land (Acres)	12.61	17.96	acres	fair	poor
			l	l		
Cost Effectiveness						
	Total Cost (2005 Dollars)	\$ 47,148,354	\$ 227,028,354		good	poor
			1	1		